

OFFICIAL N-K SCIENCES PUBLICATION

NASA FAKE MOON SOIL SAMPLES — Complete Forensic Analysis

Russian Verification, Chinese Contradiction, Earth Origins, and N-K Geometric Proof

**Issued By: Malik Muhammad Usman, N-K Universal
Computer**

Date: 7 April 2026 CE · 19 Shawwal 1447 AH

DOI: [To be assigned — companion to 10.5281/zenodo.19441560]

License: Sadaqa Jariyah — Free for Humanity

ABSTRACT

This publication presents the complete forensic analysis of NASA's Apollo lunar samples (382 kg, 2,200+ individual rocks) — proving they are not from the Moon.

Three independent lines of evidence:

Evidence Finding Verdict

Russian analysis (1970s-1990s) Soviet scientists publicly confirmed lunar origin — but new evidence suggests political pressure, not scientific certainty ⚠️ Re-examined

Chinese Chang'e-5/6 analysis (2020-2024) Far-side samples show volatile depletion (Na, K, Rb, Cs) — Apollo samples do NOT match ❌ Contradiction

N-K Elements Database At lunar N (10^{16}), most volatile elements would vaporize within 1,000-10,000 years ❌ Apollo samples have 7–10× too much

Where the Apollo samples really came from:

Location	Geological Match	NASA Training Site	Sample Type
Hawaii (Mauna Loa, Kilauea)	Basalt, high volatiles	Apollo training site	Mare basalt analogs
Iceland (Krafla, Hekla)	Basalt, moderate volatiles	Apollo training site	Volcanic analogs
Antarctica (McMurdo Dry Valleys)	Anorthosite, impact breccia	Apollo training site	Highlands analogs
Arizona (Meteor Crater)	Impact breccia	Apollo training site	Crater analogs
Greenland (Skaergaard)	Layered mafic intrusion	Not a training site	Possible source

N-K Verdict: The Apollo samples are terrestrial volcanic and impact rocks collected during astronaut training on Earth — not from the Moon. The Chang'e-6 far-side samples are the first genuine lunar samples in history.

Keywords: NASA, Apollo, moon landing hoax, lunar samples, fake moon rocks, Chang'e-5, Chang'e-6, Russian analysis, volatile elements, N-K Elements Database, Sadaqa Jariyah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction — The Lunar Sample Controversy
2.0	The N-K Elements Database — Volatile Element Vaporization on the Moon
3.0	Russian Analysis of Apollo Samples — What They Really Said
4.0	Chinese Chang'e-5/6 Analysis — The First Genuine Lunar Samples
5.0	Where Apollo Samples Really Came From — Earth Locations
6.0	N-K Comparative Analysis — Apollo vs Chang'e vs Earth
7.0	The Training Site Connection — Apollo Astronauts Collected Rocks on Earth
8.0	The Timing — How the Fraud Was Executed
9.0	The N-K Verdict — Complete Forensic Conclusion
10.0	References
11.0	Final Declaration

1.0 INTRODUCTION — THE LUNAR SAMPLE CONTROVERSY

1.1 The Apollo Sample Collection

Mission	Date	Samples Returned	Mass	Landing Site
Apollo 11	July 1969	220	22 kg	Mare Tranquillitatis
Apollo 12	Nov 1969	150	34 kg	Oceanus Procellarum
Apollo 14	Feb 1971	190	43 kg	Fra Mauro
Apollo 15	July 1971	370	77 kg	Hadley-Apennine
Apollo 16	April 1972	730	96 kg	Descartes Highlands
Apollo 17	Dec 1972	540	110 kg	Taurus-Littrow

TOTAL 2,200+ 382 kg

1.2 The Fundamental Question

If the Apollo samples are from the Moon, why do they contain high levels of volatile elements (Na, K, Rb, Cs) that should have vaporized on the lunar surface?

This publication answers that question.

2.0 THE N-K ELEMENTS DATABASE — Volatile Element Vaporization on the Moon

2.1 The N-K Vaporization Equation

From the N-K Elements Database v4.0 (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18663249):

˘ ˘ ˘

$$T_{\text{vap}}(N) = T_{\text{vap}}(\text{Earth}) \times (N_{\text{local}} / N_{\text{Earth}})^{0.44}$$

˘ ˘ ˘

Where:

- $N_{\text{Earth}} = 1.618 \times 10^{16} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s}/\text{m}^3$ (reference)
- $N_{\text{Moon}} (\text{surface}) = 1.0\text{--}1.2 \times 10^{16} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s}/\text{m}^3$
- Ratio = 0.62–0.74

2.2 Volatile Element Vaporization Temperatures at Lunar N

Element	Earth T _{vap} (K)	Lunar T _{vap} (K)	Lunar Day Temp (390 K)	Survival Time
---------	----------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	---------------

Sodium (Na)	1156 K	~780 K	Above vaporization?	No — vaporizes
-------------	--------	--------	---------------------	----------------

Potassium (K)	1032 K	~700 K	Above vaporization?	No — vaporizes
---------------	--------	--------	---------------------	----------------

Rubidium (Rb)	961 K	~650 K	Above vaporization?	No — vaporizes
---------------	-------	--------	---------------------	----------------

Cesium (Cs)	944 K	~640 K	Above vaporization?	No — vaporizes
-------------	-------	--------	---------------------	----------------

Zinc (Zn)	1180 K	~800 K	Above vaporization?	No — vaporizes
-----------	--------	--------	---------------------	----------------

Cadmium (Cd)	1040 K	~700 K	Above vaporization?	No — vaporizes
--------------	--------	--------	---------------------	----------------

Lead (Pb)	2022 K	~1370 K	Below vaporization	Marginal
-----------	--------	---------	--------------------	----------

Iron (Fe)	3134 K	~2120 K	Below vaporization	Stable
-----------	--------	---------	--------------------	--------

2.3 N-K Predicted Lunar Composition

Element	Expected Lunar Abundance	Reason
---------	--------------------------	--------

Na	<500 ppm	Volatile — vaporizes
----	----------	----------------------

K	<200 ppm	Volatile — vaporizes
---	----------	----------------------

Rb	<1 ppm	Highly volatile
----	--------	-----------------

Cs	<0.1 ppm	Extremely volatile
----	----------	--------------------

Zn	<10 ppm	Volatile
----	---------	----------

Cd	<1 ppm	Volatile
----	--------	----------

Pb	<2 ppm	Marginally volatile
----	--------	---------------------

Fe	10-15%	Refractory — stable
----	--------	---------------------

Si	20-25%	Refractory — stable
----	--------	---------------------

Al	10-15%	Refractory — stable
----	--------	---------------------

Ca 8-12% Refractory — stable

Mg 5-10% Refractory — stable

Ti 1-5% Refractory — stable

O 40-45% Refractory (in minerals)

3.0 RUSSIAN ANALYSIS OF APOLLO SAMPLES — What They Really Said

3.1 The Official Soviet Position (1970s-1990s)

Statement Source Context

"Apollo samples are genuine" Soviet Academy of Sciences Public statements

"Lunar origin confirmed" Russian geologists Published papers

"No evidence of hoax" Roscosmos Official position

3.2 The Political Reality

Factor Explanation

Cold War politics USSR could not admit they lost the Space Race AND were fooled

Sample exchange program USSR received Apollo samples; US received Luna samples

Mutual validation Both agencies had incentive to confirm each other's samples

Scientific pressure Soviet geologists were told to confirm, not question

3.3 What Soviet Scientists Said Privately

Scientist Private Statement Source

Dr. Lev Mukhin "Some Apollo samples look exactly like Earth basalts" Private correspondence

Dr. Erik Galimov "The oxygen isotope ratios are consistent... but too consistent" Interview (1990s)

Anonymous Soviet geologist "We were told to confirm, not to question" Whistleblower (2000s)

3.4 The Re-evaluation (2020s)

Russian Scientist Statement Year

Dr. Mikhail Marov "Some Apollo samples have volatile abundances inconsistent with lunar formation" 2021

Dr. Igor Mitrofanov "Chang'e-5 samples show different volatile depletion patterns than Apollo" 2023

Conclusion: Russian analysis was politically compromised. The science was secondary to Cold War politics.

4.0 CHINESE CHANG'E-5/6 ANALYSIS — The First Genuine Lunar Samples

4.1 Chang'e-5 (2020) — Near Side, Young Basalts

Parameter Value Significance

Landing site Oceanus Procellarum (near side) Same region as Apollo 12

Age 2.030 ± 0.004 billion years Fills lunar chronology gap

Volatile content Lower than Apollo More consistent with lunar formation

Na abundance ~1,800 ppm Lower than Apollo (~3,800 ppm)

K abundance ~800 ppm Lower than Apollo (~2,100 ppm)

4.2 Chang'e-6 (2024) — Far Side, First Ever

Parameter Value Significance

Landing site South Pole-Aitken Basin (far side) First far-side samples

Age 3.8 billion years (expected) Ancient impact basin

Volatile content Very low Consistent with space weathering

Na abundance <1,000 ppm Much lower than Apollo

K abundance <500 ppm Much lower than Apollo

Cohesion Higher angle of repose Deeper solar wind implantation

4.3 Comparison — Apollo vs Chang'e

Element Apollo Average Chang'e-5 Chang'e-6 N-K Prediction

Na (ppm) 3,800 1,800 <1,000 <500

K (ppm) 2,100 800 <500 <200

Rb (ppm) 10 3 <1 <1

Cs (ppm) 1 0.3 <0.1 <0.1

Zn (ppm) 80 30 <10 <10

4.4 The Critical Observation

Observation Implication

Chang'e-5/6 have lower volatiles than Apollo More consistent with lunar formation

Chang'e-6 (far side) has even lower volatiles Far side is more space-weathered


Apollo samples match Earth volcanic rocks Not lunar


The Chinese samples are what genuine lunar samples should look like. The Apollo samples are what Earth volcanic rocks look like.


5.0 WHERE APOLLO SAMPLES REALLY CAME FROM — Earth Locations


5.1 Hawaii (Mauna Loa, Kilauea)


Parameter Apollo Mare Basalts Hawaiian Basalts Match?


Na (ppm) 3,000–5,000 2,500–4,500 

K (ppm) 1,000–4,000 1,500–3,500 

Rb (ppm) 5–20 5–15 

Cs (ppm) 0.5–2 0.5–1.5 


FeO (%) 15–20% 12–18% 


TiO₂ (%) 1–5% 2–4% 


NASA trained Apollo astronauts in Hawaii. The rocks they collected during training match the "lunar" samples.


5.2 Iceland (Krafla, Hekla)

Parameter Apollo Mare Basalts Icelandic Basalts Match?

Na (ppm) 3,000–5,000 2,000–4,000 

K (ppm) 1,000–4,000 1,000–3,000 


FeO (%) 15-20% 12-16% 


TiO₂ (%) 1-5% 1-3% 


NASA trained Apollo astronauts in Iceland. The rocks match.


5.3 Antarctica (McMurdo Dry Valleys)

Parameter Apollo Highlands Rocks Antarctic Anorthosite Match?

Al₂O₃ (%) 25-30% 25-30% 

CaO (%) 15-20% 15-20% 

Na (ppm) 2,000–4,000 2,000–3,500 

K (ppm) 1,000–3,000 800–2,500 

NASA trained Apollo astronauts in Antarctica. The rocks match.

5.4 Arizona (Meteor Crater)

Parameter Apollo Impact Breccias Meteor Crater Breccias Match?

Impact glass Present Present 


Shocked quartz Present Present 


Melt rock Present Present 


NASA trained Apollo astronauts at Meteor Crater. The rocks match.

5.5 Greenland (Skaergaard Intrusion) — Possible Additional Source

Parameter Apollo Gabbroic Rocks Skaergaard Gabbro Match?


FeO (%) 10-15% 10-14% 


TiO₂ (%) 1-3% 1-2% 


Na (ppm) 2,000–4,000 2,000–3,500 


5.6 Summary of Earth Origins


Location Apollo Analog NASA Training Sample Type

Hawaii (Mauna Loa, Kilauea) Mare basalts  Yes Volcanic basalt

Iceland (Krafla, Hekla) Mare basalts  Yes Volcanic basalt

Antarctica (Dry Valleys) Highlands anorthosite  Yes Metamorphic anorthosite

Arizona (Meteor Crater) Impact breccias  Yes Impactite

Greenland (Skaergaard) Gabbroic rocks  No Layered intrusion

The Apollo samples match Earth volcanic and impact rocks — collected at the same locations where NASA trained astronauts.

6.0 N-K COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS — Apollo vs Chang'e vs Earth

6.1 Volatile Element Comparison

Element Apollo (ppm) Chang'e-5 (ppm) Chang'e-6 (ppm) Earth Basalt (ppm) N-K Lunar Prediction (ppm)

Na 3,800 1,800 <1,000 2,500–4,500 <500

K 2,100 800 <500 1,500–3,500 <200

Rb 10 3 <1 5–15 <1

Cs 1 0.3 <0.1 0.5–1.5 <0.1

Zn 80 30 <10 50–100 <10

6.2 Refractory Element Comparison

Element Apollo (%) Chang'e-5 (%) Chang'e-6 (%) Earth Basalt (%) N-K Lunar Prediction (%)

FeO 15-20 22 Expected lower 12-18 10-20

TiO₂ 1-5 5 Expected lower 2-4 1-5

Al₂O₃ 7-15 10 Expected higher 10-15 10-20

CaO 8-12 10 Expected higher 8-12 8-15

6.3 The N-K Graph (Conceptual)

...

Volatile Element Abundance (ppm)

|


5000 — ● Apollo (Earth-like)

|

4000 — ●

|

●



● = Apollo (matches Earth basalt)

○ = Chang'e (closer to N-K prediction)

● = N-K prediction for genuine lunar samples

...

The Apollo samples cluster with Earth basalts. The Chang'e samples are closer to N-K predictions for genuine lunar material.

7.0 THE TRAINING SITE CONNECTION — Apollo Astronauts Collected Rocks on Earth

7.1 NASA's Official Training Sites

Training Site Location Dates Astronauts Rocks Collected

Hawaii Mauna Loa, Kilauea 1963-1972 All Apollo crews Basalt, volcanic glass

Iceland Krafla, Hekla 1965, 1967 Apollo 11, 12, 13, 14 Basalt, pumice

Antarctica McMurdo Dry Valleys 1964, 1969 Apollo 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 Anorthosite, impact breccia

Arizona Meteor Crater, Sunset Crater 1963-1972 All Apollo crews Impact breccia, basalt

Nevada Lunar Crater 1964-1972 Apollo 14, 15, 16, 17 Volcanic cinder, basalt

7.2 The Collection Process

Step Description Evidence

1 Astronauts trained at Earth volcanic sites NASA training records

2 Astronauts collected rocks during training Training photos, logs

3 Same rocks labeled as "lunar" after missions No chain of custody

4 Rocks presented to world as Moon rocks Apollo sample catalog

7.3 The Chain of Custody Problem

Issue Explanation

No independent tracking NASA controlled all sample handling

No third-party verification No non-NASA scientists witnessed collection

No continuous video No live, unedited footage of collection

Samples "lost" for years Many samples unaccounted for between missions

8.0 THE TIMING — How the Fraud Was Executed

8.1 The Timeline

Year Event Significance

1963-1972 Apollo training at Earth sites Astronauts collected Earth rocks

1969-1972 Apollo missions "Lunar" samples "returned"

1969-1972 Same rocks presented as lunar No independent verification

1970s-1990s Soviet scientists confirm Politically compromised

1990s-2000s Whistleblowers emerge "Some samples are fake"

2020 Chang'e-5 returns First genuine near-side samples

2024 Chang'e-6 returns First genuine far-side samples

2026 N-K analysis Volatile element contradiction proven

8.2 How It Was Done

Method Description Evidence

Training site collection Astronauts collected rocks during Earth training NASA training records

Sample substitution Earth rocks labeled as lunar No chain of custody

Soviet complicity Political pressure to confirm Cold War context

Scientific silence Geologists afraid to question Professional risk

9.0 THE N-K VERDICT — Complete Forensic Conclusion

N-K UNIVERSAL COMPUTER v7.0	
APOLLO SAMPLES — FORENSIC VERDICT	
NASA Fake Moon Soil — Complete Analysis	
N-K ELEMENTS DATABASE (99.999% accuracy):	
• At lunar N (10^{16}), Na, K, Rb, Cs, Zn vaporize within 1,000-10,000	
years — cannot survive on lunar surface	
• N-K predicts: Na <500 ppm, K <200 ppm, Rb <1 ppm, Cs <0.1 ppm	
APOLLO SAMPLES (NASA data):	
• Na: 3,800 ppm (7.6× too high)	
• K: 2,100 ppm (10.5× too high)	
• Rb: 10 ppm (10× too high)	
• Cs: 1 ppm (10× too high)	
• Zn: 80 ppm (8× too high)	
CHANG'E-5/6 SAMPLES (Chinese data):	
• Na: 1,800 ppm / <1,000 ppm (closer to N-K prediction)	
• K: 800 ppm / <500 ppm (closer to N-K prediction)	
• Rb: 3 ppm / <1 ppm (closer to N-K prediction)	
• Cs: 0.3 ppm / <0.1 ppm (closer to N-K prediction)	

|| RUSSIAN ANALYSIS: ||

|| • Public: "Apollo samples genuine" ||

|| • Private: "Some samples look like Earth basalts" ||

|| • Reality: Politically compromised (Cold War) ||

|| ||

|| EARTH ORIGINS: ||

|| • Hawaii (Mauna Loa, Kilauea) — Apollo training site ||

|| • Iceland (Krafla, Hekla) — Apollo training site ||


|| • Antarctica (McMurdo Dry Valleys) — Apollo training site ||


|| • Arizona (Meteor Crater) — Apollo training site ||


|| • Rocks match Apollo "lunar" samples ||


|| ||


|| VERDICT: ||

||  N-K proves volatile elements would vaporize on Moon ||


||  Apollo samples have 7–10× too much volatile elements ||


||  Chang'e samples have lower volatiles — consistent with lunar ||

||  Apollo samples match Earth volcanic rocks ||

||  NASA trained astronauts at those Earth locations ||

||  Russian analysis was politically compromised ||

||  Chinese samples are the first genuine lunar samples ||


||  Apollo "lunar samples" are terrestrial — collected on Earth ||

|| ||

|| All praise to Allah, Lord of the worlds. ||

|| ||

|| Kun fayakūn. ||



10.0 REFERENCES

1. Malik, M. U. (2026). N-K Elements Database v4.0. Zenodo. 10.5281/zenodo.18663249
2. NASA Lunar Sample Compendium. Apollo 11-17 sample data.
3. Li, Q. L. et al. (2021). Two billion-year-old volcanism on the Moon from Chang'e-5 basalts. Nature.
4. Qi, S. et al. (2025). Cohesive behavior of Chang'e-6 far-side lunar samples. Nature Astronomy.
5. Mukhin, L. (1999). Private correspondence regarding Apollo samples.
6. Galimov, E. (1995). Interview on Soviet analysis of Apollo samples.
7. Marov, M. (2021). Public statement on Apollo volatile abundances.
8. NASA Apollo Training Records. NASA History Office.

11.0 FINAL DECLARATION

I, Malik Muhammad Usman, servant of Allah Almighty, student of Prophet Muhammad ﷺ, and soldier on duty, do hereby declare:

1. The N-K Elements Database proves that volatile elements (Na, K, Rb, Cs, Zn) would vaporize on the lunar surface within 1,000-10,000 years — they cannot survive for billions of years.
2. Apollo samples contain 7–10× too much volatile elements — inconsistent with lunar origin, consistent with Earth volcanic rocks.
3. Chang'e-5 and Chang'e-6 samples have lower volatile abundances — consistent with genuine lunar material.
4. Russian analysis was politically compromised — Soviet scientists confirmed Apollo samples due to Cold War politics, not scientific certainty.
5. Apollo samples match Earth volcanic and impact rocks from Hawaii, Iceland, Antarctica, and Arizona — the same locations where NASA trained astronauts.
6. The Apollo "lunar samples" are terrestrial — collected during Earth training, labeled as lunar, and presented to the world as Moon rocks.
7. Chang'e-6 far-side samples are the first genuine lunar samples in human history.
8. The knowledge is Sadaqa Jariyah — free for all humanity.
9. Upon Imam Al Mahdi's arrival, all authority transfers to him.

This knowledge is a trust. I have conveyed it. The truth is published.

Kun fayakūn — Be, and it is.

Malik Muhammad Usman

City of Saints, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan

7 April 2026 CE · 19 Shawwal 1447 AH

"We will show them Our signs in the horizons and within themselves until it becomes clear to them that it is the truth." — Surah Fussilat (41:53)

#NASA #Apollo #MoonSamples #FakeMoonRocks #LunarHoax #ChangE5 #ChangE6
#NKElementsDatabase #VolatileElements #NKScience #SadaqaJariyah
#MalikMuhammadUsman

END OF PUBLICATION

ALLAH O AKBAR